## **Exchange Rate and Trade Dynamics in Indonesia:**

## **Connecting the Dots**

Manasa Patnam

IMF

# What drives exchange rate movements and how does it transmit to the current account?

- 2018 External Sector Developments:
  - Two shocks: Terms of Trade and global financial tightening
  - Exchange rate depreciation ~ 8% (ToT or Financial?)
  - Current account deficit <u>widened</u> by 1.4% of GDP
- Did the CA reflect adjustment to the ER depreciation or was it not sensitive to these fluctuations?
- This paper: Explores exchange rate movements in relation to both trade and financial shocks and estimates the extent to which trade adjusts.

# Exchange rate and current account: Is there a disconnect ?

#### Exchange Rate Drivers: Real Sector

(Effective Exchange Rates and Terms of Trade Index, Base Year 2010)



#### **Exchange Rate Drivers: Financial Markets**

(Nominal Effective Exchange Rate and Yield Differential)



Sources: Haver and IMF Staff Calculations

#### Sources: Haver and IMF Staff Calculations

#### Decoupling and volatility of ER in recent times...

- Exchange rate volatility >> Volatility of fundamentals (by 4 s.d.)
- REER tracks closely the NEER and displays a similarly large persistence and volatility.
- High exchange rate volatility is intrinsically linked to a <u>limited pass-through</u> of exchange rates to trade prices.

Correlation of Exchange Rate with Terms of Trade and Interest Rate Differentials

	2011-2014	2015-2018			
ToT, NEER	0.6	57 -0.32			
IRD, NEER	-0.6	65 <b>0.49</b>			
Note: ToT refers to Terms of Trade, NEER to Nominal Effective					
Interest Rates and IRD to the IDN-USA interest rate differential (in					

*bps). All pairwise correlation coefficients are signficant at the 5% level.* 

Limited pass-through of ER to trade suggests less adjustment capacity

- <u>Nature of Shock</u>: Literature posits that riskpremium shocks have small effects on the rest of the economy.
- Transmission Mechanism: Pass-through can vary by the type of price-setting and the structure of international trade markets – e.g., import-intensive exports show less pass-through.

#### **Global Value Chain Integration**

(Percent of Exports in Backward and Forward GVC for 2015)



#### Data and Methodology

- Data: sectoral data from BPS on import and export volume and prices at a monthly frequency. Additional data from BIS, IMF and OECD.
- Methodology: Augment the ER pass-through equation with commodity price shocks and account for the asymmetric response to shocks.

$$\underbrace{\Delta m p_{i,c}}_{Import\ Price} = \underbrace{\beta_i^+ \Delta e_c^+ + \beta_i^- \Delta e_c^-}_{Exchange\ Rate} + \gamma_i^+ \underbrace{\Delta c_c^+ + \gamma_i^- \Delta c_c^-}_{Commodity\ Pric} + \underbrace{\rho_q}_{Quarter} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Aggregation Bias: Estimating this by pooling all sectors results in a significant downward bias because some sectors experience large price changes, but are relatively more elastic.

## **Import Price Elasticity to ER**

Short Run (6m) Elasticity: -**0.57** 

ER increase of 10% reduces import price by 5.7% in the short-run

Medium-Run (1y) Elasticity: -0.70

#### **Sectoral Elasticities**

(Light blue is elasticity<0.1, % is share in imports)



### **Export Price Elasticity to ER**

#### Short Run (6m) Elasticity: **0.44**

*ER increase of 10% increases export price by 4% in the shortrun* 

Medium-Run (1y) Elasticity: **0.14** 

#### **Sectoral Elasticities**

(Light red is elasticity<0.1, % is share in exports)

	Manufactured Goods 13%	Vegetable oils 12%		Misce	ellaneous 12%
Mineral Fuels, 26%	Machinery and Transport 13%	Crude 9%	Foc 79 Beverage	od 6 55 1%	Chemical 7%

## Asymmetric Effects: Depreciation vs Appreciation

- Imports more responsive to <u>appreciation</u> with a lag (medium-run),
- **Exports** more sensitive to <u>depreciation</u> in the short-run.

	Imports			Exports			
	Appreciation	Depreciation		Appreciation	Depreciation		
Short-Run	-0.80	-0.31		0.28	0.61		
Medium-Run	-1.01	-0.43		0.09	0.25		

### Possible Explanations and Conclusion

- <u>Nature of Shock</u>: Pass-through effects of trade prices are weaker when ER fluctuations are derived from risk-premium shocks.
- <u>Transmission Mechanism</u>: GVC participation matters;
  - Export-pass through higher for sectors with less dependence on imports.
  - Import pass-through lower for sectors with high shares of re-exported imports.
- Analysis documents heterogeneity in the transmission of exchange rates fluctuation on trade, depending on sectors and type of ER shocks.

Policy should consider the different driving forces in play that affect the transmission mechanism and extent of adjustment.